

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vizginia 22313-1450www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,147	02/19/2002	Barbara Wagner	321.045/US	P 3020
B. Craig Killough Barnwell Whaley Patterson & Helms, LLC Suite 300			EXAMINER	
			HESS, BRUCE H	
134 Meeting Street Charleston, SC 29401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

843 577-7700

	Application No. 10/080,147 Washer
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
	Bruce Hess 1774
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.	
Status ©Responsive to communication(s) filed on	2 (IDS)
☐ This action is FINAL.	-
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Disposition of ordinary 1-15	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Q-Claim(s) 1-8, 10 and	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s) 1-8, 10 and 1 □ Claim(s) 9,11,12,14	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	d to by the Examiner
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	ceived.
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re-	
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	
in this national stage application from the International	
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	-
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15.
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Other
Office Ac	ion Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)



Art Unit: 1774

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-8, 10 and 13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2 and 9-13of U. S. Patent No. 6,103,041 and claims 2, 5 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,105,502. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the presently claimed articles constitute the products produced by the processes of the above enumerated patents. The recitation of a newly discovered function or property (e.g., intermediate transferability) inherently possessed by things in the prior art does not cause a claim drawn to those things to distinguish over the prior art. In re Swineherd et al., 169 USPQ 226 at 229.

2. Claims 1-8, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Thompson et al. (WO 99/56948).

Thompson et al. teach a process for marking an article which comprises applying

a) at least one compound having at least one functional group capable of reacting which

active hydrogen, b) at least one compound having a least one functional group

Application/Control Number: 10/080,147

Art Unit: 1774

comprising active hydrogen and c) a blocking agent to a substrate. The recitation of a newly disproved function or property (e.g., intermediate transferability) inherently possessed by things in the prior art does not cause a claim drawn to those things to distinguish over the prior art. In re Swineherd et al., supra.

3. Claims 9, 11, 12, 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce Hess whose telephone number is (703) 308-2402. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 Am to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

B. Hess/mn September 8, 2003

BRUCE H. HESS PDIMA DY EXAMINER